PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

FOR RFP HR0011-04-R-0001 Optically Designated Attack Munitions Program

COVER SHEET

SUBMIT PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE TO:	Anthony E. Cicala DARPA/CMO 3701 North Fairfax Drive Arlington, VA 22203-1714 email: acicala@darpa.mil Phone: (571)218-4639	
Type of work performed by referenced offeror:		
Length of time your firm has been involved with the offeror:		
Name and title of the person completing questionnaire:		
Name of company or organization completing questionnaire:		
Name of contractor questionnaire is being completed for:		

Fax: (703)248-1927

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

FOR RFP HR0011-04-R-0001 Optically Designated Attack Munitions Program

RATED QUESTIONS

Please use the following ratings to answer the first questions. If you are unable to rate an item because it was not a requirement, never an issue, or you have no knowledge of the item in question, please mark it "N/A".

When completed, the information on this form is Source Selection Sensitive (41 USC 423); SAFEGUARD

Excellent The contractor's performance was consistently superior.

The contractual performance was accomplished with few minor problems and corrective actions taken by the contractor were

highly effective.

Good The contractor's performance was good or better than average.

The evaluator would willingly do business with the contractor again. The contractual performance was accomplished with some

minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the

contractor were effective.

Neutral The contractor's performance was neither good nor poor; or there

was no previous performance.

Poor The contractor's performance was entirely unsatisfactory.

The evaluator would not do business with the contractor again under any circumstances. The contractual performance of the

element being assessed contains problems for which the

contractor's corrective actions were ineffective.

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

1.	The referenced contractor's responsiveness to the Customer's needs (interaction with Government Staff and Flexibility).							
	E	G	N	P	N/A			
Comm	nents:							
2.	The q	ualifica rements	ations o	f the co lude ab	ontractor's personnel, and their ability to meet the bility to replace key personnel when necessary).			
	E	G	N	P	N/A			
Comm	nents:							

3.	The o	contrac	tor's ab	ility to	accurately estimate/control costs.
	Е	G	N	P	N/A
Comn	nents:				
					ensure, to the extent of its responsibility, that all tasks requested time frame.
	E	G	N	P	N/A
Comn	nents:				

TECHNICAL SUCCESS

5.	The contractor's clear understanding of the scope of work and ability to complete tasks as defined.							
	E	G	N	P	N/A			
Comm	nents:							
6.	The o	contract	or's eff	ectiven	ess of Project Management and Control .			
	E	G	N	P	N/A			
Comn	nents:							

7.	The c	ontract	or's abi	ility to r	resolve problems.
	E	G	N	P	N/A
Comme	nts:				
QUALI		vality a	nd ralia	shility o	framing delivered by the contractor
					of services delivered by the contractor.
-	E	G	N	P	N/A
Comme	nts:				

	G	N	P	N/A
ments:				
. Contr	actor's	ability	to man	NT AND ATTAINMENT OF GOALS age, and interact with subcontractors and meet
O. Contr establ	actor's	ability	to man	age, and interact with subcontractors and meet subcontracting goals.
0. Contr	actor's	ability	to man	age, and interact with subcontractors and meet
0. Contrestable	actor's	ability small bu	to manasiness	age, and interact with subcontractors and meet subcontracting goals.
0. Contr	actor's	ability small bu	to manasiness	age, and interact with subcontractors and meet subcontracting goals.
0. Contrestable	actor's	ability small bu	to manasiness	age, and interact with subcontractors and meet subcontracting goals.
0. Contrestable	actor's	ability small bu	to manasiness	age, and interact with subcontractors and meet subcontracting goals.
0. Contrestable	actor's	ability small bu	to manasiness	age, and interact with subcontractors and meet subcontracting goals.
0. Contrestable	actor's	ability small bu	to manasiness	age, and interact with subcontractors and meet subcontracting goals.
0. Contrestable	actor's	ability small bu	to manasiness	age, and interact with subcontractors and meet subcontracting goals.

SUBJECTIVE:

11. Would you recommend this contractor for similar government contracts? Please explain:
12. Have you experienced special or unique problems with the referenced contractor that we should be aware of in making our decision?

- 13. In summary, which of the following would you choose to describe the quality of the referenced contractor's service (circle response):
 - 11. Significantly better than acceptable
 - 12. Slightly better than acceptable
 - 13. Acceptable
 - 14. Slightly less than acceptable
 - 15. Entirely unacceptable
- 14. In summary, which of the following would you choose to describe reference contractor's willingness to cooperate to resolve performance disagreements (circle response):
 - Highly cooperative
 - Cooperative
 - Somewhat uncooperative
 - Highly uncooperative

The information provi	ded has been previously shared with the Contractor	or -
() Yes	() No	
Thank you for taking t	he time to complete the evaluation.	
Evaluator's name:		
Signature:		
Date		